

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                    | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/619,773                         | 07/15/2003      | James W. Hodges      | 60680-1802              | 8782             |  |
| 10291 75                           | 590 06/08/2005  |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| •                                  | HMAN & GRAUER P | PICKARD, ALISON K    |                         |                  |  |
| 39533 WOODWARD AVENUE<br>SUITE 140 |                 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-0610    |                 |                      | 3676                    |                  |  |
|                                    |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/08/2005 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |    |
|-------------------|---------------|----|
| 10/619,773        | HODGES, JAMES | W. |
| Examiner          | Art Unit      |    |
| Alison K. Pickard | 3676          |    |

|  | Alison K. Pickard  | 30/0  |  |
|--|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the d  | orrespondence add   | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>23 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL  | ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL  | LOWANCE.  |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on<br/>application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe<br/>for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C</li> </ol>  | the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavition (with appeal fee) in compliance to                    | Appeal. To avoid abar<br>i, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request            |
| periods:   |  |   |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing   | date of the final rejection.   |   |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (IMONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f   | iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE   | date of the final rejection   | n.                                       |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date thave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL  | ,<br>on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1<br>ension and the corresponding amount of<br>hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic                 | ite extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>  | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the  |  |
|  |  |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> </ol>  | but prior to the date of filing a brief,   | will <u>not</u> be entered be   | cause                                    |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below   |  | ⊏ below),   |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett   | <b>7</b> -   | lucing or simplifying th  | ne issues for                            |
| appeal; and/or   |  |   |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c   |  |   |  |
| NOTE: <u>The amendments to (at least) claims 12-15</u> <u>2 and 11 (added to claims 1 and 10) do not place the improve adhesion.</u> As for the arguments of the "and Jelinek (see response to arguments in the final actived by the improve adjusted by the improvements in the final actived by the improvements in the final actived by the improvements in the final actived by the improvement in the improvem | ne claims in condition for allowance<br>gles", the claims does not further o<br>on). Further, Jelinek already disclo               | because Terai teach<br>lefine "angle" to distin                           | es a texture to<br>quish over            |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12   | ` **   | maliant Amandment (   | OTOL 224)                                |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |  | iipiiani Amenumeni (r   | 10L-324).                                |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all   |  | imely filed amendmen  | t canceling the                          |
| non-allowable claim(s).  |  | -   | •  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will ided below or appended.  | be entered and an ex  | planation of                             |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |  |   |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-12.   |  |   |  |
| Claim(s) rejected. <u>1-12.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |   |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and</li> </ol>  | before or on the date of filing a No sufficient reasons why the affidavi   | tice of Appeal will <u>not</u><br>t or other evidence is                  | be entered<br>necessary and              |
| was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  | Niekies of Americk but a death it  |   | •••                                      |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea<br>and was not earlier presented. Se   | l and/or appellant fails<br>e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)                          | to provide a                             |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | of the status of the claims after er   | try is below or attache   | ed.                                      |
| 11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but  | does NOT place the application in  | condition for allowand  | ce because:                              |
| <ul> <li>see NOTE above.</li> <li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li> </ul>   | PTO/SR/08 or PTO-1440\ Paper N   | 0(s)  |  |
| 13. Other:   | 10/30/00 01 F10-1449) Рарен N  | Alison K. Pickard   | kar O                                    |
|  |  | Primary Examiner<br>Art Unit: 3676  |  |